arXiv:1609.04900v1 [math.DG] 16 Sep 2016

A TOPOLOGICAL LOWER BOUND FOR THE ENERGY OF A UNIT VECTOR FIELD ON A CLOSED HYPERSURFACE OF THE EUCLIDEAN SPACE. THE 3-DIMENSIONAL CASE

FABIANO G. B. BRITO, ANDRÉ O. GOMES, AND ADRIANA V. NICOLI

Abstract. In this short note we prove that the degree of the Gauss map ν of a closed 3-dimensional hypersurface of the Euclidean space is a lower bound for the total bending functional $\mathcal{B}$, introduced by G. Wiegmink. Consequently, the energy functional E introduced by C. M. Wood admits a topological lower bound.

1. Introduction

The energy of a unit vector field $\vec{v}$ on a Riemannian compact manifold M is defined by Wood in [4], as the energy of the map $\vec{v} : M \to T_1M$, where T_1M is the unit tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric,

$$E(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M ||\nabla\vec{v}||^2 + \frac{m}{2}\text{vol}(M). \tag{1}$$

In [2], Wiegmink defines a quantitative measure for the extent to which a unit vector field fails to be parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of a Riemannian manifold M. This measure is the total bending functional,

$$\mathcal{B}(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{(m-1)\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}\int_M ||\nabla\vec{v}||^2. \tag{2}$$

The energy of $\vec{v}$ may be written in terms of the total bending,

$$E(\vec{v}) = \frac{(m-1)\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}{2}\mathcal{B}(\vec{v}) + \frac{m}{2}\text{vol}(M). \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, Brito showed that Hopf flows are absolute minima of the functional $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbb{S}^3$:

Theorem 1 (Brito, [5])**.** *Hopf vector fields are the unique vector fields on $\mathbb{S}^3$ to minimize $\mathcal{B}$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R25, 47H11, 58E20.

Gluck and Ziller proved that Hopf flows are also the unit vector fields of minimum volume, with respect to the following definition of volume,

$$\mathrm{vol}(\vec{v}) = \int_M \sqrt{\det(I + (\nabla\vec{v})(\nabla\vec{v})^t)}.$$

Theorem 2 (Gluck Ziller, [3]). *The unit vector fields of minimum volume on $\mathbb{S}^3$ are precisely the Hopf vector fields, and no others.*

Reznikov compared this functional to the topology of an Euclidean hypersurface. Let M be a smooth closed oriented immersed hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the induced metric, and let $\mathcal{S} = \sup_{x\in M} ||S_x|| = \sup_{x\in M} |\lambda_i(x)|$, where S_x is the second fundamental operator in T_xM, and $\lambda_i(x)$ are the principal curvatures.

Theorem 3 (Reznikov, [6]). *For any unit vector field $\vec{v}$ on M we have*

$$\mathrm{vol}\vec{v} - \mathrm{vol}(M) \geqslant \frac{\mathrm{vol}\mathbb{S}^n}{\mathcal{S}} |\deg(\nu)|,$$

where $\deg(\nu)$ is the degree of the Gauss map $\nu : M \to \mathbb{S}^n$.

Recently, Brito et al, in [1], discovered a list of curvature integrals for an Euclidean $(n+1)$-dimensional closed hypersurface M,

$$\int_M \eta_k = \begin{cases} \deg(\nu)\binom{n/2}{k/2}\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n+1}), & \text{if } k \text{ and } n \text{ are even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } k \text{ or } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where the functions η_k depend on a unit vector field and on the extrinsic geometry of M.

In this note, we take a 3-dimensional closed Euclidean hipersurface M and relate the total bending (consequently the energy) of a unit vector field $\vec{v}$ to the topology of the manifold M, and we prove that

$$\deg(\nu) \leqslant 2\,\widetilde{S}\,\mathcal{B}(\vec{v}),$$

where $\widetilde{S} := \max_{x\in M}\{||S(\vec{v})_x||\}$, and S is the Weingarten operator of M.

2. Total Bending and Energy of $\vec{v}$

Let M be a 3-dimensional closed manifold, immersed in the Euclidean space. We may assume that M is oriented, so the normal map $\nu : M \to \mathbb{S}^3$, $\nu(x) = N(x)$, is well defined, where N is a unitary normal field. Let ∇ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the Levi-Civita connection and the induced

Riemannian metric on M, respectively. Let $\vec{v} : M \to TM$ be a smooth unit vector field on M, and take an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3 := \vec{v}\}$ at each point $x \in M$. Define the following notation: for $1 \leqslant A, B \leqslant 3$, $h_{AB} = \langle S(e_A), e_B\rangle$; for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 2$, $a_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i}\vec{v}, e_j\rangle$, $v_i = \langle \nabla_{\vec{v}}\vec{v}, e_i\rangle$.

From the equation 4, we know

$$\int_M \eta_2 = \deg(\nu)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3), \tag{5}$$

where $\deg(\nu)$ is the degree of ν and

$$\eta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & v_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & v_2 \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

For more details concerning the functions η_k, see [1]. Set $\sigma_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & v_1 \\ a_{22} & v_2 \end{vmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & v_1 \\ a_{21} & v_2 \end{vmatrix}$ and $\sigma_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix}$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\nu)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3) &= \int_M \eta_2 = \int_M (h_{31}\sigma_1 - h_{32}\sigma_2 + h_{33}\sigma_3) \\ &\leqslant \left|\int_M (h_{31}\sigma_1 - h_{32}\sigma_2 + h_{33}\sigma_3)\right| \\ &\leqslant \int_M (|h_{31}||\sigma_1| + |h_{32}||\sigma_2| + |h_{33}||\sigma_3|) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_M \left(|h_{31}|(a_{12}^2 + a_{22}^2 + v_1^2 + v_2^2) + |h_{32}|(a_{11}^2 + a_{21}^2 + v_1^2 + v_2^2) + |h_{33}|(\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{ij}^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $||S(\vec{v})|| = \sqrt{h_{31}^2 + h_{32}^2 + h_{33}^2}$, which implies that $||S(\vec{v})|| \geqslant |h_{3A}|$ for $1 \leqslant A \leqslant 3$. Then

$$\deg(\nu)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3) \leqslant \int_M ||S(\vec{v})|| \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} v_i \right). \tag{7}$$

By our definition $\widetilde{S} = \max_{x\in M}\{||S(\vec{v})_x||\}$, so

$$\deg(\nu)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3) \leqslant \widetilde{S}\int_M \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} v_i \right).$$

On the other hand, by equation 2, the total bending of $\vec{v}$ may be written as

$$\mathcal{B}(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{2\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3)} \int_M ||\nabla \vec{v}||^2. \tag{8}$$

Finally

$$\deg(\nu) \leqslant 2\widetilde{S}\, \mathcal{B}(\vec{v}). \tag{9}$$

By equations 1 and 3, we deduce the following lower bound for the energy functional:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\vec{v}) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M ||\nabla(\vec{v})||^2 + \frac{3}{2}\text{vol}(M) \\ &= \mathcal{B}(\vec{v})\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3) + \frac{3}{2}\text{vol}(M) \\ E(\vec{v}) &\geqslant \frac{1}{2\widetilde{S}}\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3)\deg(\nu) + \frac{3}{2}\text{vol}(M) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now consider $M = \mathbb{S}^3$. We are interested in computing the energy of a Hopf vector field v_H. By the equation 5

$$\deg(\nu)\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} \eta_2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3} \sigma_3,$$

since $h_{32} = h_{31} = 0$ and $h_{33} = 1$. When the canonical immersion of $\mathbb{S}^3$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is considered, we have that $\deg(\nu) = 1$. On the other hand, by definition of E,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} \sigma_3 = E(v_H) - \frac{3}{2}\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3),$$

since $a_{11} = a_{22} = 0$ for any Hopf vector field v_H. Therefore,

$$E(v_H) = \frac{5}{2}\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3),$$

as we expected.

3. Further research

We intend to extend these preliminary results in two main directions:

(1) Considering the energy of unit vector fields on arbitrary n-dimensional hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

(2) Restricting our attention to totally geodesic flows with integrable normal bundle. This is equivalent of studying the energy of Riemannian foliations of closed hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

References

[1] F. Brito, I. Gonçalves, Degree of the Gauss map and curvature integrals for closed hypersurfaces, preprint: arXiv:1609.04670[math.DG] (2016).

[2] G. Wiegmink, Total bending of vector fields on Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995) 325-344.

[3] H. Gluck and W. Ziller, On the volume of a unit field on the three-sphere, *Comment Math. Helv.* **61** (1986) 177-192.

[4] C. M. Wood, On the energy of a unit vector field, *Geom. Dedicata* **64** (1997) 319-330.

[5] F. G. B. Brito, Total bending of flows with mean curvature correction, *Diff. Geom. and its App.* **12** (2000) 157-163.

[6] A. G. Reznikov, Lower bounds on volumes of vector fields, *Arch. Math.* **58** (1992) 509-513.

Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição, Universidade Federal do ABC, 09.210-170 Santo André, Brazil

E-mail address: fabiano@ime.usp.br

Dpto. de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, R. do Matão 1010, São Paulo-SP 05508-900, Brazil.

E-mail address: gomes@ime.usp.br

Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição, Universidade Federal do ABC, 09.210-170 Santo André, Brazil

E-mail address: dri.nicoli@gmail.com